

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,628	04/02/2004	Lachlan Everett Hall	IRA003US	9574
	7590 03/26/200 OK RESEARCH PTY I		EXAM	INER
393 DARLING STREET			MARTIN, LAURA E	
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA	141		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/815,628 HALL ET AL. Office Action Summary

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LAURA E. MARTIN	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 and the CSX (5) MONTH's from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sort or standed period for reply will by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing agency facility that the common start that the com	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	arch 2008.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-56 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
, _							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/815,628

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 2, 4, 9-30 drawn to an ink, classified in class 347, subclass 100.
- II. Claims 31-33, drawn to a printhead, classified in class 347, subclass 86.
- III. Claims 34-37, drawn to a method of minimizing visible coloration of a substrate, classified in class 347, subclass 101.
- IV. Claims 38-48, drawn to a method enabling data entry including the step of identifying in the computer system and from the indicating data at least one field of the form, classified in class 347, subclass 15.
- Claim 49 and 51-56, drawn to a method enabling data entry via a product item, classified in class 347, subclass 5.
- Claims 50-56, drawn to a method enabling retrieval of data from a computer, classified in class 347, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VI are directed to related ink jet printer and ink. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed the ink jet ink, printer mechanism, and methods for

Art Unit: 2853

using are all different inventions and can be used in different printing methods and with different print controlling mechanisms. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

Art Unit: 2853

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Invention I:

Art Unit: 2853

Species I: a dye comprising a substantially planar π -system (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 2 and 4).

Species II: a dye comprising intermolecular interactions reduced by steric repulsion (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 3).

Species III: a dye comprising intermolecular interactions reduced using a bridged cyclic group (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 5).

Species IV: a dye comprising intermolecular interactions reduced using a polymeric group (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 6-8).

Species V: a dye preselected from a metal-dithiolene of formula (I) (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 9-19).

Species VI: a dye preselected from a metal-dithiolene of formula (II) (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 20-28).

Species VII: an ink containing an oxygen quencher (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 30).

Invention IV:

Species VIII: a field associated with at least one zone of the form (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 39-40).

Species IX: a sensing device (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 42).

Species X: a text field that is a drawing field (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 44).

Art Unit: 2853

Species XI: a text field that is a checkbox field (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 45).

Species XII: a text field that is a signature field (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 46).

Species XIII: a text field that is an action field (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 47).

Species XIV: a text field that is a form submission action field (for example, as presently disclosed in claim 48).

Inventions V and VI:

Species XV: the coded data is formed from a plurality of coded portions (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 51).

Species XVI: the coded data is indicative of at least on of a UPC and an EPC (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 52 and 55).

Species XVII: the coded data is disposed on a surface of a product item (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 53).

Species XVIII: the coded data is formed from a plurality of coded portions (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 54).

Species IXX: the coded data is invisible to the human eye (for example, as presently disclosed in claims 56).

Art Unit: 2853

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at

Art Unit: 2853

the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA E. MARTIN whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2853

(571)272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura E. Martin

/Manish S. Shah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2853